

# Lambert–Eaton myasthenic syndrome (LEMS)

Version: 2.1

Published: 09 July 2016

Condition for which IVIg has an established therapeutic role.

<b>Specific Conditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Lambert–Eaton myasthenic syndrome</li></ul>
<b>Indication for IVIg Use</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Short-term therapy for severely affected LEMS patients.</li></ul>
<b>Level of Evidence</b>	Evidence of probable benefit – more research needed (Category 2a)
<b>Description and Diagnostic Criteria</b>	<p>LEMS is a disorder of neuromuscular transmission first recognised clinically in association with lung cancer, and subsequently in cases in which no neoplasm was detected.</p> <p>Patients with LEMS have a presynaptic neuromuscular junction defect. The clinical picture is characterised by proximal muscle weakness with augmentation of strength after exercise, mild oculomotor signs, depressed deep tendon reflexes and autonomic dysfunction (dry mouth, constipation, erectile failure).</p>
<b>Justification for Evidence Category</b>	<p>In the Biotext (2004) review, one systematic review (containing one randomised controlled trial [RCT] with 9 patients) and one case series of 7 patients with a crossover design were included. Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) appeared to provide some benefit to patients with LEMS. However, both studies only included a small number of patients.</p> <p>Expert consensus states that IVIg produces temporary improvement in patients with LEMS. It therefore has a role as second-line therapy (Kornberg 2004, Asia-Pacific IVIg Advisory Board).</p> <p>One submission to the National Blood Authority reported on a randomised controlled trial that showed significant improvement in strength associated with a decline in the level of pathogenic antibodies (NSW IVIg User Group).</p>
<b>Diagnosis Requirements</b>	A diagnosis must be made by a Neurologist.
<b>Qualifying Criteria for IVIg Therapy</b>	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Severely affected LEMS patients, as demonstrated by functional scores of activities of daily living (ADL) or quantitative muscle scores or Medical Research Council (MRC) muscle assessment.</li></ul><p>AND</p><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Alternative therapy has failed.</li></ul></div>

## Review Criteria for Assessing the Effectiveness of IVIg Use

IVIg should be used for three to six months (three to six courses) before determining whether the patient has responded. If there is no benefit after three to six courses, IVIg therapy should be abandoned.

Review by a Neurologist is required within six months and annually thereafter. A trial off period should be considered at each review.

Documentation of effectiveness is necessary for continuation of IVIg therapy.

### On review of the initial authorisation period

Clinical effectiveness of Ig therapy can be demonstrated by:

- Improvement in symptoms compared to the qualifying assessment, as measured by activities of daily living (ADL) or quantitative muscle scores, or MRC muscle assessment.

### On review of a continuing authorisation period

For stable patients on maintenance therapy, review by a Neurologist is required at least annually.

Clinical effectiveness of Ig therapy can be demonstrated by:

- Improvement in, or stabilisation of, symptoms compared to the previous review assessment, as measured by activities of daily living (ADL) or quantitative muscle scores, or MRC muscle assessment.

## Dose

- **Induction Dose** - 2 g/kg in 2 to 5 divided doses.
- **Maintenance Dose** - 0.4–1 g/kg, 2–6 weekly.

Aim for minimum dose to maintain optimal functional status.

**The aim should be to use the lowest dose possible that achieves the appropriate clinical outcome for each patient.**

**Refer to the current product information sheet for further information.**

## Bibliography

Association of British Neurologists 2005, *Guidelines for the use of intravenous immunoglobulin in neurological diseases*, The Association, London. Available from: <http://www.theabn.org/media/docs/ABN%20publications/IVIg-guidelines-final-July05.pdf>

Bain, PG, Motomura, M, Newsom-Davis, J, et al 1996, 'Effects of intravenous immunoglobulin on muscle weakness and calcium-channel autoantibodies in the Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome', *Neurology*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 678–83.

Biotext 2004, 'Summary data on conditions and papers', in *A systematic literature review and report on the efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin therapy and its risks*, commissioned by the National Blood Authority on behalf of all Australian Governments, pp. 184–7. Available from: <http://www.nba.gov.au/pubs/pdf/report-lit-rev.pdf>

Dalakas, MC 2004, 'The use of intravenous immunoglobulin in the treatment of autoimmune neuromuscular diseases: evidence-based indications and safety profile', *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, vol. 102, no. 3, pp. 177–93.

Kornberg, AJ 2004, *Bringing consensus to the use of IVIg in neurology. Expert consensus statements on the use of IVIg in*

### **Bibliography**

*neurology*, 1st edn. A report for the Asia–Pacific IVIg Advisory Board, Melbourne.

Maddison, P & Newsom-Davis, J 2005, 'Treatment for Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome (Cochrane Review)', in *The Cochrane Library*, Issue 2, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, United Kingdom.

Motomura, M, Bain, PG, et al 1995, 'Effects of intravenous immunoglobulin treatment on anti-calcium channel antibody titres in the Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome', *Journal of Neurology*, vol. 242, pp. S44.

Skeie, GO, Apostolski, S, Evoli, A, et al 2006, 'Guidelines for the treatment of autoimmune neuromuscular transmission disorders', *European Journal of Neurology*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 691–9.

*Generated on: 2 April 2019*